FAX COVER SHERT

NORRIS, MCLAUGHIN & MARGUS, P.A. 220 EAST 42ND STREET 30TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017 212-808-0700 Fax 212-808-0844 tagottlieb@nmmlaw.com

Total pages, including cover:

RECEIVED GENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 2 9 2004

June 29, 2004

Send to:		UNITED STA	TES PATENT	AND TRADEM	ARK
1		OFFICE	The second secon	The second secon	
Examiner		SUPERVISO	R THURMAN	PAGE	
Art Unit:	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7615	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The amendment of the project of the contract o	Company of the control of the contro
RE		Request Into	ervention	Halles	100 m

Comments:

Transmitted herewith:

- 1. Copy of Response and Amendment of June 24, 2004
 2. Request for SPE's Intervention

RECEIVED GENTWAL FAX CENTER

JUN 2 9 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.	09/975,520	
APPLICANT	Bettina FATH, et al.,	
FILED	October 11, 2001	
EXAMINER	R L. Channavajjala	
ART UNIT	1615	
FOR	Composition for the Treatment of Human	
	Hair	
, is	·	



ATTENTION: SUPERVISORY EXAMINER THURMAN PAGE
Art Unit 1615

Hon. Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 29, 2004

REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR INTERVENTION

Dear Supervisor Page:

Further to our conversation in early May, I am requesting your input into the present matter. As you instructed me at that time, I called you after May 15, 2004 and left several voice mails which were not answered. Undoubtedly, you are extremely busy and I apologize for any undue burden this request places on you. However, I believe that your insight and experience may be of great use in expediting the end of this prosecution, or alternatively, explaining in a detailed manner the reasons for not allowing the application.

The technology is relatively simple, a haircare composition, and should not require significant review of the technology.

The specific issue is whether or not the Examiner has given proper weight to the specification's objective evidence. These tests demonstrate that the claimed combination of components provides a haircare product with unexpectedly superior performance in relation to various styling parameters. The Examiner apparently is not persuaded by this evidence.

Attached is a copy of the most recent response filed on June 24, 2004. Hopefully, this may be of some help in determining the legal points on which the Examiner and the Applicants do not agree.

Should you feel that a personal conversation with the undersigned would help expedite the matter, please feel free to call at any time.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Respectfully Submitted,

Norris, McLaughlin & Marcus 220 East 42 nd Street New York, NY 10017 Telephone (212) 808-0700

Facsimile (212) 808-0844

Theodore Gottlieb, PhD Reg. No. 42, 597

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (703) 872-9306

on June 29, 2004,

Theodore Gottlieb
Typed or printed name of transmittor

Signature